



## EVALUATION TO ACCOMPANY AN APPLICATION FOR A <u>PROJECT GRANT</u>

## **DATA ACQUISITION**

#### Notes:

- In your role as doctoral supervisor, you have been asked to submit an evaluation to accompany a project grant application within the heiDOCS funding program of Heidelberg University.
- Please note that the evaluation must be treated confidentially and sent directly by email to the Graduate Academy (ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de). It must not be shared with the applicant.
- The application deadlines also pertain to the submission of the evaluation:

February 15, June 15, October 15

Using this form, please evaluate the applicant with regard to:

- 1. their academic qualifications,
- 2. the subject matter and methodology of their doctoral project,
- 3. the relevance and necessity of the project grant,
- 4. any other relevant comments or assessments.

The heiDOCS awards committee requests that you provide a realistic and nuanced evaluation of the doctoral candidate based on the above criteria. Meaningful and comprehensive evaluations are an important component of a well-founded awards decision.

Please enter your evaluation on the following pages.

\*Please save the application form on your computer before filling it out in order to ensure that your data is transmitted correctly. After filling out the application form, please re-save the file and send it via email to the Graduate Academy: ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de.

## Note for Mac OS users:

Please use Adobe Reader for Macintosh to fill out the PDF file instead of the integrated preview of Mac OS. Otherwise your data may not be displayed correctly in Windows.

# Evaluation to accompany an application for a project grant for data acqusition

| Date                                  |  | Applicant's name |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Name and institute of the supervisor: |  |                  |  |

| 1. | Please give a brief evaluation of the applicant's research project: |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
|    | a.  | in terms of the subject (e.g. quality, complexity and methodology of the project) |  |
|    | b.  | in terms of the significance for the research field                               |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |  |

| 2. How would you rate the applicant's abilities related to their: |                                 |                                |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
|   |                                 | this section is required       |  |  |
|   | knowledge of the research field | independent<br>research skills |  |  |
| excellent   |                                 |                                |  |  |
| good to very good   |                                 |                                |  |  |
| satisfactory to good  |                                 |                                |  |  |
| average   |                                 |                                |  |  |
| N/A   |                                 |                                |  |  |

| 3. | Please evaluate the relevance and necessity of the planned project. Please comment in particular on the following: a) expected scientific/academic outcomes b) significance for the future career of the applicant c) why the funds can not be provided by the institute |
|----|--|
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| 4. | Additional comments/recommendations  |
|    | If you have been asked by more than one applicant to submit an evaluation, please rank the applicants here.)   |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |