**EVALUATION TO ACCOMPANY AN APPLICATION FOR A
PROJECT GRANT**

***EXTERNAL EVALUATION***

**Notes:**

- In your role as doctoral supervisor, you have been asked to submit an evaluation to accompany a project grant application within the heiDOCS funding program of Heidelberg University.
- Please note that the evaluation must be treated confidentially and sent directly by email to the Graduate Academy (ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de). It must not be shared with the applicant.
- The application deadlines also pertain to the submission of the evaluation:
  **February 15, June 15, October 15**

Using this form, please evaluate the applicant with regard to:
1. their academic qualifications,
2. the subject matter and methodology of their doctoral project,
3. the relevance and necessity of the external evaluation,
4. any other relevant comments or assessments.

The heiDOCS awards committee requests that you provide a realistic and nuanced evaluation of the doctoral candidate based on the above criteria. Meaningful and comprehensive evaluations are an important component of a well-founded awards decision.

Please enter your evaluation on the following pages.

*Please save the application form on your computer before filling it out in order to ensure that your data is transmitted correctly. After filling out the application form, please re-save the file and send it via email to the Graduate Academy: ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de.

**Note for Mac OS users:**
Please use Adobe Reader for Macintosh to fill out the PDF file instead of the integrated preview of Mac OS. Otherwise your data may not be displayed correctly in Windows.

**Evaluation to accompany an application for a <u>project grant for external evaluation</u>**

| Date | | Applicant's name | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Name and institute of the supervisor:** | | | |

| 1. Please give a brief evaluation of the applicant's research project: |
|---|
|       a.   in terms of the subject (e.g. quality, complexity and methodology of the project)<br>      b.   in terms of the significance for the research field |
| |

| 2. How would you rate the applicant's abilities related to their: | | |
|---|---|---|
| *this section is required* | | |
| | knowledge of the research field | independent research skills |
| excellent | | |
| good to very good | | |
| satisfactory to good | | |
| average | | |
| N/A | | |

3. Please evaluate the relevance and necessity of the external evaluation. Please comment in particular on the following:
   a) expected scientific/academic outcomes
   b) increasing the visibility of the research project
   c) adaption to established standards in the (international) research community
   d) why the funds can not be provided by the institute

4. Additional comments/recommendations

   *If you have been asked by more than one applicant to submit an evaluation, please rank the applicants here.)*