



## EVALUATION TO ACCOMPANY AN APPLICATION FOR A PUBLICATION GRANT

## Notes:

- In your role as doctoral supervisor, you have been asked to submit an evaluation to accompany a publication grant application within the heiDOCS funding program of Heidelberg University.
- Please note that the evaluation must be treated confidentially and sent directly by email to the Graduate Academy (ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de). It must not be shared with the applicant.
- The application deadlines also pertain to the submission of the evaluation:

February 15, June 15, October 15

Using this form, please evaluate the applicant with regard to:

- 1. their academic qualifications,
- 2. the subject matter and methodology of their doctoral project,
- 3. the relevance and necessity of the publication grant,
- 4. any other relevant comments or assessments.

The heiDOCS awards committee requests that you provide a realistic and nuanced evaluation of the doctoral candidate based on the above criteria. Meaningful and comprehensive evaluations are an important component of a well-founded awards decision.

Please enter your evaluation on the following pages.

\*Please save the application form on your computer before filling it out in order to ensure that your data is transmitted correctly. After filling out the application form, please re-save the file and send it via email to the Graduate Academy: ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de.

## Note for Mac OS users:

Please use Adobe Reader for Macintosh to fill out the PDF file instead of the integrated preview of Mac OS. Otherwise your data may not be displayed correctly in Windows.

## Evaluation to accompany an application for a publication grant

Date		Applicant's name	
Name and institute of the supervisor:			

1.	Please give a brief evaluation of the applicant's research project::		
	a.	in terms of the subject (e.g. quality, complexity and methodology of the project	
	b.	in terms of the significance for the research field	

2. How would you rate the applicant's abilities r	elated to their:	
		this section is required
	knowledge of the research field	independent research skills
excellent		
good to very good		
satisfactory to good		
average		
N/A		

3.	Please evaluate the relevance and necessity of the publication grant
4.	Additional comments/recommendations
	If you have been asked by more than one applicant to submit an evaluation, please rank the applicants here.)