



EVALUATION TO ACCOMPANY AN APPLICATION FOR A BRIDGING GRANT

(Starting grant for a doctoral/postdoctoral project)

Notes:

- In your role as doctoral supervisor or mentor, you have been asked to submit an evaluation to accompany a bridging grant application within the heiDOCS funding program of Heidelberg University.
- Please note that the evaluation must be treated confidentially and sent directly by email to the Graduate Academy (ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de). It must not be shared with the applicant.
- The application deadlines also pertain to the submission of the evaluation:
 February 15, June 15, October 15

Using this form, please evaluate the applicant with regard to:

- 1. their academic qualifications,
- 2. the quality of the planned reseach project,
- 3. the time schedule of the planned research project,
- 4. any other relevant comments or assessments.

The heiDOCS awards committee requests that you provide a realistic and nuanced evaluation of the doctoral candidate based on the above criteria. Meaningful and comprehensive evaluations are an important component of a well-founded awards decision.

Please enter your evaluation on the following pages.

*Please save the application form on your computer before filling it out in order to ensure that your data is transmitted correctly. After filling out the application form, please re-save the file and send it via email to the Graduate Academy: ga-docs@uni-heidelberg.de.

Note for Mac OS users:

Please use Adobe Reader for Macintosh to fill out the PDF file instead of the integrated preview of Mac OS. Otherwise your data may not be displayed correctly in Windows.

Evaluation to accompany an application for a bridging grant

Date		Applicant's name	
Name and institute of the supervisor or mentor			

1.	How would you rate the applicant with regard to his or her aptitude for academic work?

2. How would you rate the applicant's abilities r	elated to their:	
		this section is required
	knowledge of the research field	independent research skills
excellent		
good to very good		
satisfactory to good		
average		
N/A		

3.	Please evaluate the planned research project
4.	How would you assess the time schedule for the work to be completed during the funding period?
	rion noma you access are anno concease for any nontro so completion assing and lanearing points.

5.	Why are there no other funding options available to the applicant?	
6.	In the event that the selection committee considers the application to be worthy of funding, but the heiDOCS program does not have sufficient funds available for full funding, which minimum funding period should be approved?	
	Please note: This information does not automatically lead to a reduction in the funding period that will be considered.	
7.	Additional comments/recommendation	
	(If you have been asked by more than one applicant to submit an evaluation, please rank the applicants here.)	
8.	Only in the case of an application for a starting grant postdoc : Please tick the boxes	
☐ I confirm that the postdoctoral project will take place primarily at Heidelberg University.		