



– Landesgraduiertenförderung program –

Assessment of the interim report of an individual doctoral fellowship
Assessment of an application for a completion grant

Please save this PDF on your computer before filling it out in order to ensure that your data is transferred correctly.

After filling out the form, please re-save the file and upload it on the following website:

[https://adb.zuv.uni-heidelberg.de:8890/sach/INFO_STIP\\$.gutachter?M2=10](https://adb.zuv.uni-heidelberg.de:8890/sach/INFO_STIP$.gutachter?M2=10)

Notice to Mac OS users:

Please use [Adobe Reader for Macintosh](#) to fill out and save the form. Forms filled out with the integrated PDF preview from Mac OS may not display correctly in Windows.

Please select:

- Assessment of applicant's **first interim report** (first extension of an individual doctoral fellowship)
 Assessment of applicant's **second interim report** (second extension of an individual doctoral fellowship)
 Assessment of an application for a **completion grant**

by Prof. PD _____
 (name of supervisor)

for _____
 (name of applicant)

Duration applied for: _____
 (period of time)

Evaluator's personal information

Office address:	_____
Institute:	_____
University:	_____
Country:	_____
Office phone:	_____
Office email address:	_____

1. Assessment of the report given by the applicant

(max. 25 lines)

2. On the basis of the report given, do you expect the doctoral project to be successfully completed within the maximum funding period?

(max. 20 lines)

3. By which date do you expect the dissertation to be submitted and has the examination date already been set? *(max. 5 lines)*

4. Other comments *(max. 30 lines)*

5. For completion grant applications only: *(max. 4 lines)*

If you are writing evaluations for more than one application for a completion grant, we recommend that you indicate here the position of this applicant in comparison to the other applicants for whom you are submitting an evaluation as a guideline for the selection committees. However, it is possible that changes may be made to the ranking by the selection committees within the framework of the overall evaluation process.